

# **Environmental Management Programme (EMP)**

**for**

## **Equestrian Activities in Table Mountain National Park**

**compiled by**

**Table Mountain Equestrian Forum**

**and**

**SANParks**

**Updated draft November 2022**



## **Purpose of this document**

This document is the Environmental Management Programme (EMP) for equestrian activities in the Table Mountain National Park as revised in 2022.

## **Where to find the EMP**

Electronic copies, along with high resolution maps are available from the SANParks and Table Mountain Equestrian Forum websites at:

[https://www.sanparks.org/parks/table\\_mountain/about/plan.php](https://www.sanparks.org/parks/table_mountain/about/plan.php)  
[www.tmf.co.za](http://www.tmf.co.za)

## Contents

Acronyms and abbreviations used .....	4
Glossary .....	4
Tables, figures, maps .....	5
1. Introduction .....	6
1.1 Purpose and scope of the document .....	6
1.3 The equestrian activities user group .....	8
1.3.1 Types of equestrian activities .....	9
1.3.2 Socio-economic value .....	10
1.4 Format of this EMP .....	10
1.5 Approach to preparing the document .....	11
1.6 User groups' comments on horses and equestrian activities in TMNP .....	11
2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND ISSUES .....	12
2.1 Discussion on soil erosion .....	12
2.2 Discussion on the potential impacts of horse manure .....	13
2.3 Discussion on the potential disturbance to wild animals .....	14
2.4 Discussion on social impacts and benefits .....	14
2.5 Discussion on impact on other users .....	15
3. VISION, PRINCIPLES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE EMP .....	17
3.1 EMP Vision .....	17
3.2 Principles .....	17
3.3 Objectives .....	17
4. MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK FOR EQUESTRIAN ACTIVITIES .....	18
4.1 Accessibility .....	18
4.2 Trail design for equestrian activities .....	18
4.3 Designated routes and proposed additional trails .....	19
4.3.1 Tokai (Upper, Middle, Lower) .....	19
4.3.2 Noordhoek/Kommetjie (Wetlands and Beach) .....	22
4.3.3 Glencairn area .....	27
4.3.4 Hout Bay (Orange Kloof and Sandy Bay) .....	28
4.3.5 Cecilia .....	29
4.4 Procedures to open new equestrian activity routes or tracks .....	30
4.5 Equestrian Code of Conduct .....	31
4.6 Interactions with other user groups .....	32
4.6.1 Hikers/walkers and trail runners .....	33
4.6.2 Mountain bikers/cyclists .....	33
5. INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK .....	34

6	Implementation of the EMP .....	36
	References .....	37
	Appendix A: TMNP trail user stakeholder groups .....	38
	Appendix B: Equestrian activity route maps .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

## Acronyms and abbreviations used

CDF	Conservation Development Framework
CVRC	Constantia Valley Riding Club
EMP	Environmental Management Programme
HBRC	Hout Bay Riders Club
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act 107 of 1998)
NRC	Noordhoek Riding Club
PAA	National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (PAA) No 57 of 2003
PMC	Peninsula Mountain Chain: comprises the mountains, valleys and lowlands of the Cape Peninsula under various management authorities (SANParks, City of Cape Town, SANBI etc.) and private owners
PMP	Park Management Plan
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute
SANParks	South African National Parks
SARDA	South African Riding for Disabled Association
SPCA	Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals
TDRA	Tokai District Riding Association
TMEF	Table Mountain Equestrian Forum (see section 5)
TMMB	Table Mountain Mountain Bike Forum
TMNP	Table Mountain National Park
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

## Glossary

Code of Conduct	Accepted rules and guidelines for equestrian activities in the TMNP.
Commercial	A business operation in which a fee is charged for horse riding.
Cyclist	Generic term used in this EMP for all those riding cycles, including cyclo-cross cycles, road cycles, mountain bikes and other non-conventional cycles.
Equestrian/rider	Equestrian refers to horseback riding, but includes any person engaged in an activity with a horse.
Equestrian activity	Any activity done with a horse or horses in the TMNP, such as riding a horse, walking alongside and leading horses, training horses from the ground, etc.
Fun ride	Organised horse-riding event open to all levels of rider.
Horse-riding event	A once-off organised horse-riding activity within a defined area.
Jeep track	Vehicular access road, typically gravel.
Route/trail	Multiple tracks that take an equestrian from A to B.
Single track	Narrow, non-vehicle path suitable for horse riding, cycling or walking.
Track	A portion of a trail or route.
Yard	A place where horses are accommodated.

## Tables, figures, maps

Table 1: Potential environmental impacts of equestrian activities in TMNP .....	16
Table 2: List of equestrian-related associations active in the TMNP area .....	35
Table 3: Key implementation activities identified in this EMP .....	36
Figure 1: TMNP boundaries .....	7
Map 1: Overview of equestrian activity areas in TMNP .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Map 2: Tokai – Upper .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Map 3: Tokai – Middle .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Map 4: Tokai – Lower .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Map 5: Noordhoek/Kommetjie wetlands and beach .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Map 6: Silvermine .....	
Map 7: Glencairn area .....	41
Map 8: Hout Bay – Orange Kloof .....	42
Map 9: Hout Bay – Sandy Bay .....	43
Map 10: Cecilia .....	44

## **1. Introduction**

### **1.1 Purpose and scope of the document**

This Environmental Management Programme (EMP) provides an overarching framework for the management and regulation of all equestrian activities in the Table Mountain National Park (TMNP). The EMP covers all recreational horse riding and other forms of activities involving horses that may arise in the TMNP currently and into the future (hereafter referred to as “equestrian activities”).

This EMP only addresses equestrian activities within the defined extent of the TMNP and does not discuss such activities on any adjacent private and public land. The EMP may however prove useful in discussions with other landowners regarding access, especially where it can be integrated with the approved TMNP routes.

The primary aim of this EMP is the sustainable utilisation of a natural asset with an emphasis on biodiversity management and diverse recreational opportunities in the TMNP.

This EMP is a revision of the “Environmental Management Programme for Horse Riding in the Table Mountain National Park” signed in March 2004 (hereafter called the “2004 EMP”), which was compiled by the Cape Peninsula Horse Riding Working Group and SANParks. Many changes have occurred in TMNP since 2004, including the incorporation of the Tokai area, previously under the control of SAFCOL, into the TMNP.

This EMP specifically aims to:

- review the 2004 EMP;
- sustain and strengthen a constructive partnership between people undertaking equestrian activities in the TMNP and TMNP management;
- identify and mitigate the impacts of equestrian activities on biodiversity and heritage resources within the TMNP;
- identify and mitigate the impacts of equestrians on other recreational users and TMNP visitors;
- designate appropriate routes within the TMNP to be used for equestrian-related activities;
- develop a code of conduct with rules and associated guidelines for equestrian activities in the TMNP;
- develop a coordinated approach for the implementation, monitoring and enforcement of this EMP;
- identify the roles and responsibilities of SANParks and other stakeholders in the implementation and enforcement of the EMP;
- manage future growth and diversity in equestrian activities within the TMNP.

Commercial operations within the TMNP are regulated by a permit system. This applies to commercial equestrian operations, such as beach rides and trail rides. The equestrian EMP applies to any such permitted regulations in terms of the code of conduct and designated trails, however any such commercial activities are subject to SANParks permit conditions. See SANParks website for permit application details.

This EMP is intended to be used by equestrians, SANParks management, Table Mountain Equestrian Forum (TMEF) and other horse and horse-riding related organisations. It is also intended for reference by all TMNP users, including hikers, walkers accompanied by dogs, cyclists, trail runners and the general public.

SANParks is the management authority within the TMNP for the implementation of this EMP.

## 1.2 Context for recreational EMPs

TMNP is one of South Africa's 23 national parks and is managed by SANParks, which is a Schedule 3(a) Public Entity in terms of the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA, Act 1 of 1999). The core mandate of SANParks is the conservation and management of biodiversity through a system of national parks and in accordance with the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (PAA, Act 57 of 2003) and accompanying regulations.

The TMNP also forms part of the Cape Floral Region Protected Areas World Heritage Site that was inscribed as a serial World Natural Heritage Site by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) in 2004. It falls within the fynbos biome, representing the Outstanding Universal Value of biodiversity and the unique ongoing ecological and biological processes associated with the evolution of the unique fynbos biome.



**Figure 1: Table Mountain National Park boundaries**

The Regulations for the proper administration of Special Nature Reserves, National Parks and World Heritage Sites (GN R.1061, 28 October 2005) issued in terms of section 86 (1) of the PAA govern all visitors and users of the TMNP. These regulations cover various management aspects including admission, entry points, overnighting, pets, permitting activities, etc. within the TMNP and World Heritage Sites.

TMNP is not a continuous unit but is fragmented by urban development and privately-owned land. It is divided into three management areas (Figure 1):

- Area North: extending from Signal Hill to Constantia Nek;
- Area South: the Central and Southern sections extending from Constantia Nek to the Cape of Good Hope; and,
- Area Marine: including the Marine Protected Areas.

The TMNP is a popular destination for a wide variety of outdoor activities with approximately 25 recreational activities occurring within this area. These include walking, hiking, mountain biking, horse riding, sport climbing, rock climbing, trail running, hang- and paragliding, picnicking, walking accompanied by dogs, etc. In addition, due to its proximity to the City of Cape Town and with world-renowned tourism destinations such as Cape Point and the Table Mountain Cableway, it is a popular tourist destination with approximately 4 million visits to the TMNP per annum.

A significant challenge faced by TMNP management is to provide for and administer these diverse recreational activities without compromising the experience of other visitors and users, and to conserve the integrity of the unique biodiversity and heritage resources of the TMNP. To this end, SANParks prepared in 2000 and revised in 2007 and 2015 a Park Management Plan (PMP) that is prepared and approved in terms of the PAA by the Minister of Environmental Affairs.

Part of the PMP is a spatial framework, the Conservation Development Framework (CDF), which identifies visitor use zones and visitor sites within the TMNP:

- **Use Zones:** These zones define aspects such as the experiential qualities, type of activities, degree of interaction with other users, type and size of facilities, the sophistication of facilities, the type of access and the standards of roads within each zone. Horse riding or equestrian activities are classified as “suitable in certain locations under managed conditions” in the “Low Intensity Leisure”, “Quiet” and “Remote” zones of the TMNP, and the CDF further notes that it is one of the activities that will be subject to EMPs.
- **Visitor facilities and activities:** Tourism and visitor service infrastructure are generally located at designated visitor sites that are designed to fulfil a defined role. The TMNP provides a wide range of facilities for visitors and recreational users. These range from accommodation, food and beverages, restaurants, cable ways and event/function locations.

With the establishment of the TMNP in 1998, it was agreed between TMNP stakeholders and TMNP Management to formally recognise appropriate recreational activities that should occur within the TMNP. The number of recreational activities and the number of users undertaking these activities had the potential to negatively impact on both the environment and other recreational users if not managed appropriately.

The recreational EMPs seek to provide a structured and consistent approach to address a range of environmental issues and impacts relating to recreational activities in the TMNP. As such, codes of conduct, regulations, permits and Environmental Management Programmes apply to a number of activities in order to minimise the impacts on the environment and other users of the TMNP. Through public processes, EMPs have been developed and implemented for walking with dogs, hang- and paragliding, sport/rock climbing, horse riding and mountain biking.

### 1.3 The equestrian activities user group

Horse riding is a popular and well-established recreational and commercial activity in specific areas of the TMNP. Historical records bear witness to equestrian activities (see Box 1) particularly in the following areas: Tokai (Upper, Middle and Lower Park), Constantia (Cecilia), Hout Bay (Orange Kloof and Sandy Bay), Noordhoek (Wetlands and Beach), and Glencairn to the Cape of Good Hope section of the TMNP.



The number of horses being kept in and near TMNP was estimated in 2018 to be approximately 1 100 (Constantia  $\pm$  200; Hout Bay  $\pm$ 200; Tokai  $\pm$ 200; Noordhoek  $\pm$ 400; and smaller numbers from Glencairn southwards). A pre-existing livery stable yard forms part of TMNP within the Tokai Manor House precinct, and a former SANDF yard in Glencairn is under the jurisdiction of the TMNP. Many horses are also kept on the borders of TMNP land, where the sizes of properties permit the keeping of horses, as regulated by the City of Cape Town.

Equestrian properties vary in size from private yards with one or two horses to commercial livery stable yards of various sizes. These types of equestrian facilities all rely on safe access to and space for extensive and regular exercise off the properties to ensure the welfare of horses is met.

#### **Box 1: History of equestrian activities in the TMNP area**

Historical records about life on the farms in Tokai/Constantia Valley highlight the prevalence of horses and mules as a means of transport, working the land and facilitating logging in the forested areas, as well as for recreational purposes. The Noordhoek area was farmed in the 1920s and 30s, and the land was worked using oxen and horses.

When mechanisation reduced the need for horsepower, the recreational use of horses became paramount and evolved into the equestrian economy of today. By 1939 there were commercial beach rides operating in the Noordhoek area. Numerous riding schools and stable yards existed, and it was a common occurrence in the 1950s and 60s to ride horses on social visits or as a means of transport to equestrian events. Muizenberg, Noordhoek and Hout Bay beaches were easily accessible for horses. Numerous sport horse breeding and training facilities emerged, including the racing stables in Noordhoek that still exist today. Large equestrian properties, riding schools and show grounds were prevalent across the TMNP area.

From the early 1960s, the first effects of urbanisation were felt as farms and smallholdings were subdivided, roads were tarred, and fences erected. These developments made the network of greenbelts and access tracks on private or public land through the urban areas increasingly vital to the equestrian community. Equestrians have lost access to substantial portions of land that were previously open to horses, for example: Steenberg Farm (now the Steenberg Golf Estate) the Noordhoek salt pan (now Lake Michelle estate), and numerous open spaces in Constantia and Hout Bay. There continues to be loss of safe space for riding across the Peninsula.

#### ***1.3.1 Types of equestrian activities***

This EMP defines “equestrian activity” as any activity done with a horse or horses. Equestrian activities can take on a number of forms, including training from the ground and walking alongside the horse. Equestrian activities in the TMNP are predominantly exercise as part of a training programme for various equestrian disciplines or trail riding for equine health and welfare, scenic pleasure, fitness and recreational purposes. Equestrians typically ride either in small groups or alone, and the pace is dependent on terrain, ground-suitability, the abilities of the equestrians and the proximity to other user groups (such as hikers, cyclists and dog walkers).

While the pace is most often a walk or trot, most equestrians enjoy a canter where the terrain and ground allows it. Many equestrians also enjoy jumping natural obstacles such as small logs or ditches where it is safe to do so.

Equestrian activities also provide significant leisure and tourism value at yards that specialise in catering for local and international tourists who wish to ride horses in a beautiful natural setting. This scenic beauty and high biodiversity, coupled with proximity to popular wine farms, lends itself to the growth of horseback tourism which is a growth industry around the world.

Riding excursions in the park are typically round 1-2 hours in duration, with no overnight trail activities taking place within the TMNP.

### ***1.3.2 Socio-economic value***

The socio-economic value created by the existence of horses in and near the TMNP is widespread and significant. Service providers include, but are not limited to, grooms, feed merchants and delivery agents, bedding suppliers, manure removal services, yard managers, instructors, farriers and farrier assistants, veterinarians and specialists, equine physiotherapists, equine dentists and dentist assistants, equine chiropractors, alternative medical practitioners, tack shops and saddle fitters, tack manufacturers and medical suppliers. Repairs and maintenance to buildings, paddocks and arenas are ongoing and involve another sector of the industry.

There has been ongoing investment in infrastructure, such as arenas, stable yards and trails adjacent to the TMNP, for example the Noordhoek Riding Club (NRC) and the Tokai Pony Club Arena adjacent to the Lower Park. The TMNP Tokai Precinct Redevelopment Plan makes provision for equestrian arenas adjacent to the SANParks Stables and the Tokai District Riding Association has made proposals for equestrian facilities adjacent to the Aboretum parking area as part of the Tokai Cecilia Management Plan process.

The equestrian community close to the TMNP also provides support for equine welfare-related initiatives. These include the South African Riding for the Disabled Association (SARDA), the Cart Horse Protection Association (CHPA), Cape of Good Hope SPCA and other smaller organisations, such as the Tom Ro Haven in Noordhoek.

The continued existence of larger properties suitable for keeping horses, equestrian activity and associated economic activities, and the remaining ‘rural’, low-density nature of these areas is heavily dependent on access to riding trails within TMNP.

A recent study has found that the total annual recreational value of a wildlife area was 35 times higher than the cost for the management and investment carried out by local environmental authorities in more than 10 years (Lamhamedi, 2021).

## **1.4 Format of this EMP**

The EMP is structured as follows:

- Section 1 introduces the EMP, including:
  - its purpose,
  - background and overview of the current status of the activity.
- Section 2 profiles the potential environmental impacts on the natural and heritage resources of the TMNP, and on other recreational users and visitors.
- Section 3 frames the overall vision and objectives for the EMP.
- Section 4 describes the implementation of the EMP, including:
  - identification of approved routes,
  - the Code of Conduct and guidelines,
  - identification of management and enforcement activities.

- Section 5 describes the institutional roles and responsibilities for the EMP.
- Section 6 identifies the broad scheduling for the implementation of the EMP.

### **1.5 Approach to preparing the document**

The approved 2004 EMP, and the Tokai District Riding Association (TDRA) Handbook and TDRA Trails Document, formed the basis for this EMP which was prepared as follows:

- i. The 2018 document was drafted by the TMEF using the 2004 EMP and other documents;
- ii. Representatives from other equestrian activity centres (see section 5) utilising TMNP then commented on the draft document and those comments were included;
- iii. A series of meetings or interviews were held with other key user groups in TMNP, including cyclists, trail runners and dog walkers (see Appendix A). The key responses from these engagements are described in section 1.6 below;
- iv. These inputs were then discussed with TMEF members and additional comments considered;
- v. SANParks internally workshopped all comments and then meetings were held with the TMEF for agreement on the draft of the revised EMP to be circulated for public comment;
- vi. A draft revised EMP was advertised for public comment during July 2018 using the various TMNP, equestrian platforms, the media and press;
- vii. This final EMP was prepared, taking into account all comments received for approval by SANParks.

### **1.6 User groups' comments on horses and equestrian activities in TMNP**

The key responses from meetings and interviews with representatives of cyclists, trail runners and dog walkers included the following:

- To be completed when engagements have taken place

## **2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND ISSUES**

Equestrian activities, as with any other recreational use of land, have actual and potential environmental impacts. The TMNP has adopted the EMP format as the management framework to identify and effectively manage negative environmental impacts and support positive impact to enable equestrian activities to be undertaken in the TMNP without compromising the ecological, social and cultural integrity of the TMNP.

A number of North American and Australian studies provide a useful context for identifying the environmental impacts of horse riding and are provided in the references. The results of these studies will not be repeated in this EMP, but have been utilised for the purposes of rating of impacts and proposed mitigation measures. As far as the authors of this EMP are aware, no primary studies have been done in South Africa relating to the environmental impacts of equestrian activities. Until such time as detailed studies are undertaken in South Africa, and in the fynbos biome in particular, stakeholders must plan according to studies undertaken elsewhere.

In general, international studies have revealed that the primary potential impacts identified in relation to equestrian activities include: trail damage (and related damage to vegetation alongside trails), the impacts of horse manure (the potential for introduction of alien species and soil nutrient imbalance), disturbance to wild animals, and some social impacts such as littering and user conflicts. Each of these are discussed in more detail below. It should be noted that the significance of some of the potential impacts will vary from area to area, as it will depend on the specific conditions present in a particular equestrian activity area and user numbers and frequency. For example, the impact of horses on erosion and compaction will depend on the erosion potential for the site, the intensity of the impact as well as the trail design and maintenance activities.

Few impacts identified have major significance and mitigation/elimination is possible if managed correctly (see section 4).

### **2.1 Discussion on soil erosion**

The primary impacts on trails as a result of equestrian activities are soil displacement and compaction, and increase in trail width and depth. Studies have shown that impacts are generally highest in untracked areas (Lansberg, 2001). Impacts on established trails are generally associated with flat, poorly drained sections of trail, on steep trails, and on poorly planned and maintained trails. Impacts are lowest on constructed and maintained trails.

The susceptibility of paths/tracks to erosion is determined by several factors including prevailing geophysical conditions (topography, soil texture, organic content, rockiness, vegetation and drainage), precipitation regime, track type (jeep track, single track), design (grade of trail, side-slope) and maintenance as well as type of work (walking, trotting or canter paths) and intensity of use.

The impact of equestrian activities on designated trails can often be directly related to track design, intended use and use intensity. Equestrian activities on fairly flat jeep tracks that are hardened by regular vehicle use typically have minimal impact unless there has been prior track damage; whereas horse riding on steep single tracks may have a larger potential for trail damage. The majority of soil erosion issues on horse and hiking paths can be traced to poor path design, eg paths that traverse poorly drained soils, which can lead to users widening the paths to avoid quagmires. Muddy sections can be a temporary or seasonal problem. Trail slope alignment can play an important role in soil loss in mountainous terrain.

Intensity of use is an important factor when considering impact from horse riding activities. In the majority of studies comparing horse trail impacts with other user group impacts, intensity of use has been measured at the same level of intensity. These studies do not provide a suitable method of assessment of trail impact within the TMNP, as the numbers of horses using the equestrian paths is limited predominantly to those horses living adjacent to the park. Day visitors are low in numbers, owing to the complexity and costs associated with trucking horses. As a result, intensity of use is low relative to other activities, such as hiking or mountain biking, which are accessible to a larger pool of users, including those that travel via vehicles to engage in recreational activities in the TMNP.

Excessive erosion often makes trails difficult or even dangerous to traverse, and can be self-perpetuating once started. As a result, considerable investment has been made into ongoing trail management and maintenance by equestrian organisations, such as the Tokai District Riding Association (TDRA), which identifies and mitigates impacts on a proactive basis. Various track- and alien-clearing projects have also been undertaken in Noordhoek, where local equestrians intend to form a body similar to the TDRA. This maintenance work reduces the fire risk and assists in the maintenance of jeep track access for emergency response.

Studies have found that the highest risk of soil erosion impact is as a result of the creation of informal trails. These are often poorly routed and not maintained, resulting in an increased potential for degradation. It is noted that the creation of informal trails does not currently appear to be a significant problem on the Peninsula at present.

## **2.2 Discussion on the potential impacts of horse manure**

Conservation biologists and natural resource managers are increasingly concerned with the invasion of non-indigenous or non-native species in natural ecosystems. Concerns have been raised regarding the potential for horse manure to serve as a means for the introduction and dispersal of exotic/alien plants in protected areas.

A paper published in *Natural Areas Journal* in 2010 noted that whilst several studies indicate non-native seeds can germinate after digestion by horses, *in situ* studies have not demonstrated causal links between horses and plant invasions (Quinn et.al 2010). Other studies on seed viability have typically involved assessing manure samples planted in soil which are then placed in a nursery environment for germination under optimal growing conditions, rather than testing viability under trail conditions. A study by Dr Gower from the Department of Forest Ecology and Management at the University of Wisconsin-Madison was conducted for trail conditions. This study determined that “Vegetation composition of transects perpendicular to the trail was dominated by native species both along the horse trails (94%-98%) and hiking trails where horses are prohibited (93%-99%). These findings show that non-native species composition did not differ significantly between horse and hiking (non-horse) trails., and ranged from 1%-7% for hiking trails and 2%-6% for horse trails.” The research found that “no plants grew in the manure and hoof debris plots.” The results of this study have been used for the development of *Equestrian Design Guidebook* for the United States Forest Service.

Although no studies have been conducted within the fynbos biome, in the Tokai, Glencairn, Orange Kloof and Noordhoek areas where horses have been ridden for many years, no notable outbreak or spread of seed as a result of horse manure has been documented. The dominant roughage diet for horse kept in the Cape Peninsula are teff, eragrostis, oat hay and lucerne. Horse owners are concerned about obtaining quality feed that has been properly planted, harvested and

baled, and as a result weed content in feed is limited. Many horses are also fed processed or pelletised feed. Pasture grazing tends to be limited due to space availability at most yards and control of weeds forms part of standard pasture management, which is critical in ensuring horses do not ingest toxic plant species.

Horse trails are also largely limited to jeep tracks, which are not conducive environments for plant growth.

Within the context of manure impacts it is noted that the equestrian activities are limited to day-time excursions with no overnighting facilities. The manure from horses living adjacent to the park is eliminated primarily in their paddocks and stables. The relatively small volume of manure produced over the network of tracks is expected to have only limited, localised impact of soil conditions. Once deposited, total mineralisation of manure takes place in as short a time as 21 days with the majority of the solid portion breaking down within 6 days. Nutrients tend to volatilise rapidly into the atmosphere. One of the challenges of preserving nutrients in horse manure is to get them turned into the soil as rapidly as possible before the nutrients are lost to the air (Quinn 2004). The localised nutrient increase is considered to be insignificant in the context of the fynbos biome which supported a diverse large mammal faunal population (>20kgs) before permanent European settlement in the mid-17<sup>th</sup> century heavily impacted on the region, including populations of Cape mountain zebra (*Equus zebra zebra*) (Radloff, 2008).

There are no known toxic effects on humans due to exposure to horse manure (Quinn, 2001).

It is noted that the seeds of exotic plants can attach to horses' coats and hooves. However, this is also the case with other recreational users where seeds can attach to footwear, bicycle tyres, vehicle tyres and clothing (Bouchard et.al 2015 and Smith, 2020). The relatively limited extent of horse track routes is taken into account in the assessment of this impact.

### **2.3 Discussion on the potential disturbance to wild animals**

Horses, like all other users of a protected area, might cause a disturbance to animals such as nesting birds, mongooses, small antelope or baboons. However, as horses are animals (and specifically prey animals not predators), equestrians often experience that other animals are less disturbed by them being on horseback than if they were on foot. Equestrians speak of riding close to caracals and baboons without any impact on the animals as the animals do not perceive the horses to be a predator threat. A horse on a trail provides sound in the cadence of a four-footed prey animal, which informs wildlife of a non-threatening presence. For terrestrial life forms, the percussion pulse provides warning, which diminishes energy-consuming flight response (Quinn, 2001). Disturbance to nesting birds would be limited with equestrians adhering to designated tracks.

### **2.4 Discussion on social impacts and benefits**

Social impacts such as littering are not specific to equestrians per se and may occur with any user group. The management guidelines applicable to this type of behaviour should be as applicable to equestrians as to other users and enforcement rules should apply. Responsible equestrians also have positive social impacts in terms of revenue generation from the industry, tourist attractions, crime deterrents, and interactions with young children. Riders can be used as a volunteer and advocacy group. In addition, equestrians are additional eyes to provide a complementary support

service to the TMNP management, by alerting them to emergency situations (e.g. fires, injured animals, squatters and arsonists) or identifying broken fences and gates due to vandalism or natural disrepair or other TMNP infrastructure maintenance issues (e.g. excessive erosion). Equestrians tend to be environmentally-conscious people and, as such, can be of benefit to TMNP management. Horse traffic can also be used to maintain firebreaks and seldom-used trails (Quinn, 2004).

## **2.5 Discussion on impact on other users**

Equestrian activities are restricted to approved trails. In some instances these trails are shared with other users. In terms of SANParks signage, horses have right of way over cyclists and pedestrians. This is important for safety reasons.

It is highly beneficial for horse-riders to have access to suitable trails and riding areas that are not shared with cyclists and dog-walkers, as well as to areas with shared trails where it is safe and appropriate. International best practice in urban parks is to have a system of user trails alongside but separate for various activities, which promotes safety and visibility within a shared space, and signage that warns other user groups to expect horses.

An example of a combination of exclusive trails and shared spaces is the EMP for cyclists in the TMNP.

Some user groups are required to have Activity Permits and are subject to specific Codes of Conduct, which have as a primary aim to minimise negative impacts on other user groups.

In general, pedestrians and hikers are not impacted by horse-riding in any significant way and it is customary for horse-riders to slow down and warn pedestrians of their approach. Adherence to the various Codes of Conducts governing users under the activity permit system will ensure that the impact of horse-riding on dog walkers and cyclists is minimal.

Where these Codes of Conduct are not adhered to, horse-riding can have an actual or perceived impact. For example, owners of free-running dogs that are not under proper control in shared spaces may perceive horses as a threat, or cyclists travelling at speed on shared trails may not want to slow down and give way to horses. However, dogs that are not under control and run up to, harass and attack horses and cyclists riding at speed have a significantly higher negative impact for horses, than a horse and rider have on dogs or cyclists.

Key to achieving safety and harmony are two factors. The first is the widespread publicising of user-group Codes of Conduct through ongoing communication by SANParks in partnership with user group organisations and appropriate signage. The second is visible and regular enforcement of Activity Permit conditions and adherence to the Codes of Conduct by SANParks staff.

## **2.6 Summary of environmental impacts**

This section provides a high-level assessment of the potential environmental impacts of equestrian activities in the TMNP, and the significance of those impacts. The listing of these environmental impacts is not a value judgement of the relative desirability of equestrian activities

in the TMNP when measured against other recreational activities. The scale of many of these impacts is relatively small, or in some cases only suspected. It must be noted that impacts will be more intense if access is restricted to fewer routes or tracks, as numbers of users will be higher.

The identification of these key environmental impacts provides for:

- (i) the development of the environmental vision and objectives for the EMP (section 3);
- (ii) the identification of the routes available for equestrian activities (section 4); and,
- (iii) the establishment of the Code of Conduct, comprising etiquette and rules and any other management activities that may be required to mitigate these impacts (section 4).

The potential impacts that have been identified in relation to equestrian activities are presented in Table 1. The significance of these potential impacts, with and without management, is reflected in the table as follows: if they are positive (+ve); if they are slight/neutral (0); or if they are negative (-ve). Question marks depict issues where the significance is uncertain or unproven.

**Table 1: Potential environmental impacts of equestrian activities in TMNP**

Activity	Potential Impact	Significance	
		Without management	With management
Arriving/departing TMNP <i>(note: very few horses arrive in motorised transport, so there is minimal to no impact at parking areas)</i>	Increased parking requirement	0	0
	Increased litter at parking areas	0	0
	Increased noise at parking areas	0	0
	Conflict with other users at parking areas	0/-ve	0
	Damage to indigenous vegetation at parking areas	0	0
Equestrian activities	Damage to trails and adjacent areas (erosion, loss of vegetation cover, dune erosion)	-ve	0
	Damage of indigenous vegetation (trampling, compaction)	-ve	0
	Damage to watercourses (harm to banks, alteration of flow, formation of quagmires)	-ve	0
	Proliferation of informal trails/shortcuts/illegal routes	-ve	0
	Introduction of alien vegetation	-ve/?	0
	Soil nutrient balance	-ve/?	0
	Disturbance of animals	0	0
	Disturbance of heritage sites	0	0
	Interactions with hikers	+ve	0
	Interactions with dog walkers	-ve or +ve	0
	Interactions with cyclists	-ve or +ve	0
	Security for all users/crime deterrent/emergency support	+ve	+ve
	Increased litter on trails	0	0
	Increased noise on trails	0	0
	Increased fire hazard	0	+ve
	Physical exercise	+ve	+ve
	Tourist experiences	+ve	+ve
Trail maintenance	Introduction of alien vegetation and/or construction material	-ve	0
	Disturbance of in situ soil and substrates	-ve	0
	Increased litter	-ve	+ve
Civil/institutional arrangements	Funding for conservation and management	0	+ve
	Economic growth and employment opportunities	+ve	+ve



### 3. VISION, PRINCIPLES AND OBJECTIVES OF THE EMP

#### 3.1 EMP Vision

In line with the overall vision of the TMNP, “A Park for All, Forever”, TMNP management strives to balance the core business mandates (biodiversity, social transformation, heritage and tourism management) required by SANParks with the need for management excellence within an urban environment.

The following vision is proposed to guide the implementation of this EMP:

*To ensure responsible access to, and use of, designated routes within Table Mountain National Park, in keeping with conservation objectives, for the benefit and use of current and future generations of equestrians.*

#### 3.2 Principles

The environmental principles provide a framework for setting of objectives and actions. Equestrians using the trail network in the TMNP must be aware and committed to the following principles:

- Minimise the impact of equestrian activities on the environmental integrity of the TMNP;
- Avoid impacts on the cultural and heritage environment of the TMNP;
- Identify, assess and mitigate the environmental impacts of current and potential routes;
- Adhere to conditions for opening new routes or rerouting/closing existing ones in the TMNP. Opening new trails and maintaining existing ones must comply with National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) regulations, and trails within TMNP should link with trail networks outside of the TMNP;
- Cooperate with, recognise and respond to TMNP management’s concerns;
- Monitor equestrian activities to ensure compliance with the principles described above.

#### 3.3 Objectives

The objectives to achieve the vision and principles of the EMP are as follows:

**Objective 1:** To identify equestrian routes in the TMNP;

**Objective 2:** To ensure adherence to the Code of Conduct that governs behaviour of equestrians and manage impact on other users;

**Objective 3:** To implement an effective monitoring and maintenance programme of the equestrian activities route network including gravel roads, trails, management tracks and single tracks;

**Objective 4:** To ensure effective and ongoing communication and provision of relevant and useful information between TMNP management and user groups;

**Objective 5:** To strive for the sustainable and equitable provision of resources to mitigate the impacts of equestrian activities while supporting wider biodiversity conservation initiatives programmes to ensure the integrity of the TMNP.

## **4. MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK FOR EQUESTRIAN ACTIVITIES**

This section seeks to operationalise the vision and objectives described in Section 3. It identifies the rules for equestrian activity access, discusses ideal trail design, explains the design and proposed routes in the TMNP for equestrian activities, and clarifies the procedures to open new trails. It addresses interactions with other TMNP users and provides the Code of Conduct for equestrians.

Note: The maps included in the appendices distinguish between existing designated routes and additional proposed routes.

### **4.1 Accessibility**

A Level 3 Activity Permit provides the right to undertake equestrian activity in the TMNP and regulates the conditions under which this may be done. The permit should be carried at all times while in the TMNP and be produced for inspection whenever required by a TMNP official. This activity permit can be obtained from designated TMNP sales offices. See SANParks website for applicable fees and requirements.

There are areas within the TMNP that are subject to additional access permission, such as Orange Kloof and the Tokai Picnic Site which are managed in partnership with equestrian organisations in these areas. Equestrian access from Zwaanswyk is managed by the property owners' association (ZAPO) in conjunction with the TDRA.

### **4.2 Trail design for equestrian activities**

A key issue for equestrians is the availability of equestrian activity areas and trails. Like athletes, horses require regular exercise, and for many equestrians riding is their daily form of physical activity. A fit horse and rider can easily undertake a 20-30km ride over a few hours, but equestrians typically ride for 30-60 minutes on shorter rides of 6-12km. It is therefore beneficial if a variety of routes are available for equestrians.

Equestrian activity trails or routes should:

- Have convenient and safe access from horse yards in and near TMNP, from parking areas designated for visiting equestrians, and from greenbelt areas adjacent to the Park;
- Be circular where feasible from various starting points near yards or parking areas, or offer several options so that overlapping or repeating sections is minimised to prevent trail damage through over-use;
- Be wide enough to pass other horses and users safely;
- Avoid running alongside busy vehicle roads, where possible, or alternatively provide a wide sidewalk;
- Incorporate proper road crossings where necessary;
- Allow some options away from other users, such as dog walkers and cyclists, to ensure that horses can gradually be accustomed to interactions with these users;
- Be a mixture of single tracks and jeep tracks/service roads. Jeep tracks are suitable for faster and side-by-side equestrian activities, while single tracks require a slower pace;
- Have a suitable surface, as both extremely thick/soft sand and extremely hard/stony ground can cause injuries to horses if not ridden with care in these areas.

- Have a gradient that is not too steep, as regular use of such tracks could lead to erosion. Should tracks traverse a steep slope, the track design should zig-zag or traverse gently and have other erosion-prevention measures such as water bars at regular intervals to channel water off the track.
- Have clear and adequate signage. Approved trails and trails that do not allow equestrian activities should be clearly marked, as should equestrian trails where other users, such as dog-walkers and cyclists, are not permitted. Trails that are temporarily closed for rehabilitation or maintenance should be marked and the local equestrian association notified so that they can inform members. Where cyclist cross trails with horse-riders, these points should be clearly marked with vegetation trimmed to ensure clear visibility.

**Recommendations for preservation of riding trails and equestrian activity trail design:**

- Trails with clear design problems that are leading to damage must be corrected. Regular maintenance of trails is necessary to ensure they are kept in good condition for equestrian activities. A list of equestrian trail maintenance and development needs is to be maintained by the TMEF and updated at least bi-annually.
- The TMEF should be invited by the TMNP authorities to provide input regarding trail design, track characteristics and route accessibility when designing new trails, conducting maintenance on trails, and evaluating trails for temporary or permanent rehabilitation.
- The TMEF email address [info@tmef.co.za](mailto:info@tmef.co.za) should be used by TMNP management to efficiently communicate information relating to trails. The TMEF will ensure such information is distributed via the various equestrian associations near the TMNP.

### **4.3 Designated routes and proposed additional trails**

The main equestrian activity areas in TMNP are: Tokai (including Upper, Middle and Lower sections), Noordhoek (Wetlands and Beach), Glencairn, Hout Bay (Orange Kloof and Sandy Bay) and Cecilia.

The sections below deal with each area (see maps in Appendix B). The current use of trails is described. Historical trails where access is no longer granted are discussed where appropriate, as are new proposed trails or reinstatement of historical trails.

#### ***4.3.1 Tokai (Upper, Middle, Lower)***

For many years most of the Tokai area of TMNP was a pine plantation under the management of the forestry department or private forestry companies. Tokai comprises Upper, Middle and Lower sections. Most local equestrians belong to the Tokai District Riding Association (TDRA), which was established to represent the interests of local equestrians, and the Tokai Pony Club.

#### **Upper Tokai**

Upper Tokai (Map 2) covers a substantial area stretching from the Silvermine Section of TMNP in the south, to the saddle on the Tokai Mast Road in the north-west. It is bordered by the Porter Estate (incorporating the Chrysalis Academy), farmland and residential areas in the east and the Constantiaberg mountains in the west.

Access to Upper Tokai for equestrians is via:

- The Arboretum parking area and main access road, and the brick road off this near the bridge which leads to the SANParks offices;
- The Zwaanswyk Close gate and several other access gates along the Zwaanswyk fence;
- The firebreak entrance at the Tokai/Zwaanswyk Road intersection;

- The Chrysalis Gatekeeper Gate between the SANParks stable area and Porter Estate, managed by the TDRA.

A historical trail from Blue Valley Avenue in Hout Bay over the mountain saddle linking up with Level 4 is no longer a common access point due to crime and the stony condition of the trail.

An estimated 100 equestrians access Upper Tokai on a daily basis and the Mounted Police also make use of the area for mountain patrols. Equestrians are permitted to use all jeep tracks in Upper Tokai, many of which have been named for convenience. The jeep tracks follow contours on Levels 1 to 5. The jeep track that bisects the TMNP from the Tokai Field Office up to Level 2 is known as Central Track. The large jeep track from the Arboretum parking lot up to Level 3 is known as Main Track. In accordance with the published SANParks map depicting recreational activities<sup>1</sup>, the area south of Main Track is only to be used by equestrians and walkers/hikers, while the area north of Main Track and Levels 4 and 5 to Silvermine is shared by equestrians, walkers/hikers and cyclists.

#### Proposed trails to be opened for equestrian activities in Upper Tokai

Historically, equestrians used a network of single tracks throughout Upper Tokai in addition to the jeep tracks. Equestrian activities in the pine plantations caused little damage, and single tracks wove through the trees and zig-zagged up steep slopes to prevent erosion. When the management of the land was given to SANParks, equestrian activities were restricted to the jeep tracks. However, due to the high communal usage and hard stony nature of some of the jeep tracks, the TDRA has identified additional single track routes off the jeep tracks that allow access between the levels away from other users.

The horse riding community has approached the TDRA to seek approval for these routes from SANParks. Proposed routes to be opened for equestrian activities include the following and are marked on Map 2:

- A. L2-L3 north link: This link is important to prevent accidents between horses and bicycles on the main track blind corner.
- B. Arboretum Trail: The trail through the arboretum
- C. A link above Zwaanswyk between the dead-end tracks on the far south, as these dead-end tracks are not in use due to them providing no circular routes. The exact position of this single track trail will need to be surveyed and the trail built according to the advice in section 4.2. creating a circular route.
- D. A link between the jeep track running past the new SANParks offices, the proposed arena and to the start of the Main track.
- E. The verge along the road to the Manor House must be converted into an equestrian trail where possible to minimise interactions between horses, vehicles and cyclists.
- F. A link between the bridge and weir area to the Zwaanswyk side, opposite the new SANParks office buildings, as it is no longer practical to use the brick road due to office traffic volumes.

#### **Middle Tokai**

Middle Tokai links Upper Tokai and Lower Tokai and also links to the Constantia greenbelt trails, which are popular for equestrian activities. Map 3 shows the extent of this area from the Stone Church in the north, to Orpen Road in the east, the Arboretum in the west and the Upper

---

<sup>1</sup> SANParks, 2009. Tokai and Cecilia Management Framework, May 2009

Tokai Road in the south. Several horse yards border on or are located in Middle Tokai, making it a vital equestrian hub. It is estimated that currently approximately 150 horses make use of Middle Tokai on a daily basis and the Mounted Police also make use of the area for patrols. This section of the TMNP encompasses some of the Tokai Manor House Precinct, as well as the Equestrian Sub-Precinct, which contains the SANParks Stables and the land earmarked by SANParks for horse riding arenas<sup>2</sup>. It is also a key access point for horse trailers entering the TMNP Tokai area.

Middle Tokai also borders on the Porter Estate (managed by the Western Cape Provincial Government), which encompasses the Chrysalis Academy and tenants. The yards are private properties and no equestrians are permitted access unless they are tenants of the yards or members of the TDRA.

Access to Middle Tokai for equestrians is via:

- The Stone Church access to/from Constantia Uitsig area and Constantia greenbelts
- The Lions' Gate/Orpen Road crossing to/from Lower Tokai
- The southern Orpen Road crossing to/from Lower Tokai
- The gap in the fence at the Tokai/Zwaanswyk Road intersection
- The Arboretum parking area access to/from Upper Tokai, and other access points such as the weir and bridge on Upper Tokai Road near the Manor House
- The Chrysalis Gatekeeper Gate between the SANParks stable area and Porter Estate land
- The Picnic Site entrance gates on Upper Tokai Road and near the Chrysalis climbing wall

All routes that are available to equestrians are demarcated on Map 3 and include the main jeep tracks (numbered 1 to 4 from south to north, and the perimeter trail) and existing single tracks that link Track 1 to 2 and Track 2 to 3.

#### Proposed trails to be opened for equestrian activities in Middle Tokai

As in Upper Tokai, when the land was a pine plantation equestrians had full access and many single tracks wove through the pines with fallen trees provided fun jumping opportunities. It is recognised that Middle Tokai is an important fynbos restoration area that includes several wetlands, however the following new tracks are requested:

- A. A single track running from Tokai Road across to the Picnic Site fence, along the fence and to an existing jeep track that joins up with the old tar road to create a circular route.
- B. Orpen Road trail: this trail was proposed in the Tokai and Cecilia Management Framework and should be planned for the future as it allows for circular routes without crossing Orpen Road.
- C. Use of the old tar road off the Orpen Road trail would lessen impact on the Orpen Road trail.

#### Lower Tokai

Lower Tokai (**Error! Reference source not found.**<sup>3</sup>) is the area of TMNP situated east of Orpen Road, north of the Dennendal area of the Tokai suburb, and south of the wetland area near Soetvlei Avenue. It is used by numerous members of the public for various activities. It is likely that over 100 equestrians use Lower Tokai on a daily basis, and the Mounted Police also make use of the area for patrols.

Access to Lower Tokai for equestrians is via:

- The Lions' Gate/Orpen Road crossing to/from Middle Tokai
- The southern Orpen Road crossing to/from Middle Tokai
- The bridge to the Keyzers River Trail (greenbelt) along the M3 highway

---

<sup>2</sup> SANParks, 2012. Tokai Manor Precinct Plan, August 2012

- A private access trail from the horse yard adjacent to the Cape Academy for Maths, Science and Technology on Soetvlei Road
- The entrance at the Tokai Pony Club arena off Dennendal West Road

The Tokai Pony Club arenas occupy provincial land adjoining Lower Tokai and Dennendal West Road and are available for use by the equestrian community. Access to this facility requires an annual membership obtainable from the Tokai Pony Club.

Lower Tokai has a red clay path around most of its perimeter that is popular with walkers, cyclists and dog walkers. Horses are not permitted on the clay path, but the main perimeter horse trail is directly on the inside of this red clay path and therefore equestrians must cross the red clay path at certain access points.

Incidents between dogs and horses are an issue in this area, due to their extreme proximity and the number of dogs that are not under the full control of their owners. Signage is erected at all entrances and provides clarity that all users should give way to horses.

All the trails marked on **Error! Reference source not found.**<sup>3</sup> are available to equestrians and should remain available when the pine plantation is removed.

#### **Proposed trails to be opened for equestrian activities in Lower Tokai**

Equestrian activities in the Lower Tokai have been affected significantly by several trail closures. Historically, equestrians could use the entire Lower Tokai area and trails wove in amongst the pine trees; while larger jeep tracks existed through the current fynbos area for forestry purposes. While it is recognised that Lower Tokai is a highly significant fynbos restoration area, it is recommended that dedicated paths to accommodate walking, dog walking and horse riding need to be established in the fynbos areas after harvesting of the pines<sup>3</sup>. It is recommended that the specific historical trails numbered A, B, and C on **Error! Reference source not found.**<sup>4</sup> be reinstated. These trails are already open to hikers and dog walkers and could easily be shared with equestrians. Access for equestrians would improve the safety of all users due to equestrians' ability to see over the vegetation. The extra trails would also help with the over-use of the perimeter trail of Lower Tokai.

#### ***4.3.2 Noordhoek/Kommetjie (Wetlands and Beach)***

The Noordhoek Valley is home to over 400 horses, and the area is popular for its unique scenery and riding trail potential. Noordhoek/Kommetjie wetlands and beach are an ideal area for horse riding with a number of established routes. The wetlands abut directly onto the prominent horse keeping areas with easy access to this section of the TMNP without crossing busy main roads. The wetlands host trails along the northern edge of the wetlands and an access path onto the beach. The beach is particularly popular with both local equestrian users as well as riders transporting horses to the beach from outside the area using horse trailers.

The Noordhoek Riding Club (NRC) operates an equestrian competition venue on Katzenellenbogen Street. This riding club backs directly on to the TMNP. The Noordhoek Pony Club is affiliated with the international Pony Club and has close ties with the NRC, who together host events and educational programmes for children and their families. There are facilities on the Noordhoek and Imhoff ends of the beach that host trail rides for tourists. Noordhoek also hosts an equine charity, the Tom Ro Haven which works with rescued horses to facilitate animal therapy with at-risk youth from local communities. The NRC also works together with local

---

<sup>3</sup> SANParks, 2009. Tokai and Cecilia Management Framework, May 2009; page 15

environmental group Project Noordhoek to monitor alien vegetation in the wetlands, and the equestrian community has been responsible for considerable fundraising efforts to support the removal of the invasive species.

The NRC is currently in a process of formalising a working group of riders to represent the non-competitive interests of the Noordhoek Riding Community. This body will be responsible for communicating between club members, the public and official bodies like SANParks. They will also optimise the collaboration between existing community organisations like the Noordhoek Rate Payers Association and Noordhoek Environmental Action Group. The group will work towards improving the safety and quality of existing trails through collaboration with SANParks by reporting track degradation and facilitating maintenance and local fundraising. The NRC will be the primary equestrian community representative ensuring the compliance of the equestrian community through various channels of communication.

### **Current trails for equestrian activities in Noordhoek**

#### **Noordhoek/Kommetjie wetlands**

The wetlands host a network of existing trails that run along the northern section of the wetlands adjacent to Katzenellenbogen Street between the Lake Michelle housing estate and the beach access path behind the NRC.

Map 4 shows the current accessibility of the existing trails in the wetlands. At this moment, various trails officially marked on SANParks trail maps have become inaccessible due to maintenance issues. The path south from Lake Michelle requires a safe river crossing previously facilitated by a bridge. However, the bridge is a constant target of vandalism, leaving it impassable. Therefore, the link between the Imhoff trails along the southern edge has been broken, leaving these trails unattended. This has then resulted in increased intensity of use on the trails along Katzenellenbogen Street, as there are now only five kilometres of trails accessible to the 400 horses of Noordhoek that are not directly on the beach. The effects of this intensity can be seen in the multiple smaller branching of trails along the designated routes due to riders seeking safer footing off these more eroded paths.

#### **Noordhoek beach**

The Noordhoek Beach is a popular recreational hotspot for many different user groups in Cape Town. It is particularly attractive to equestrian park users as it is one of the few remaining beaches without a time cut-off for horses, it has ideal footing for riding, and its length provides ample space for all skill levels to enjoy the scenery.

One of the key features of the Noordhoek beach is the seasonal lagoon that has water level changes between the seasons, as well as after single storm events. This lagoon is representative of the dynamic natural processes occurring constantly on the beach and in the wetlands. However, this changing landscape can cause conflict between different users and between the users and the natural environment. Many horse riders currently ride either on the dry pan or along the edge of the water, inland from the ocean. This area is attractive to riders because of the conditions of the ground, the distance from potential hazards to nervous horses, the lack of other user groups, and the space available for faster paces. The ocean edge is the most popular area for dog walkers, and

the unpredictability of other users who are not familiar with horses in this area has caused serious incidents with riders. The ocean is loud and constantly moving which can make some horses nervous, and the rip currents leave the surface dangerous with unseen level changes and patches of sinking sand. Therefore, many riders prefer the relative safety of riding between the dunes and the seaward edge of the pan.

The following are proposed rules for the beach under its two dominant conditions: A full lagoon (winter) and a dry lagoon (summer). Please see map below for the proposed management plan for equestrian activity areas.



Noordhoek Beach equestrian activity access areas



**Proposed permanent no-go zones:** These include the lagoon area behind the Kakapo Wreck, the soft dunes between the high tide mark and lagoon edge except for the three designated crossing areas, and any area in the immediate vicinity of wildlife.

**Full lagoon:** The full lagoon results in a large expanse of the surface of the beach, nearing 50%, to be covered in water. This habitat is essential for many bird species, and the following rules aim to protect the local ecology while providing safe conditions for horse riding:

- Horse riders are to avoid disturbing wildlife at every opportunity.
- Horses to follow the designated riding routes along the ocean, and to only ride along the seaward (western) edge of the lagoon.
- Horses not to be allowed along the dune side of the lagoon (eastern edge).

**Dry lagoon:** The dry lagoon offers ideal footing for horse riders and will therefore always be the most attractive riding surface to beach users. The following rules aim to guide horses away from sensitive breeding areas and make the presence of horses more predictable to other users:

- Riders must keep away from the sensitive dunes on the edge of the wetlands.
- Riders must limit crossing the dunes between the pan and the ocean to the three designated crossing areas.
- Riders must exercise caution while riding on the receding lagoon edge as the newly revealed sand is prone to areas of sinking sand.

The following general beach rules are applicable:

- Pass other beach users slow and wide.
- Alert other users to your presence.
- Give clear instructions to other users should you find yourself in a dangerous situation i.e. “please leash your dog”, “please slow down”.
- Stay in designated areas for horse-riding.

It is to be noted that while the above is applicable to horse-riding, the lagoon is often the site of illegal water sport activities that disturb both wildlife and other TMNP users.

### **Proposed trails to be opened for equestrian activities in Noordhoek**

Noordhoek has historically been woven through with equestrian trails that were rideable from Imhoff to Silvermine, with many routes through the wetlands. Today there are two access points to the beach from Noordhoek, with one requiring substantial time walking through the Chapman’s Peak suburbs, or the alternative taking riders through a path overgrown with alien vegetation. This, coupled with formal SANParks closures of some routes and the inaccessibility of others due to maintenance issues, has caused greater intensity on the limited trails off Katzenellenbogen Street and on the beach. Imhoff has access to the southern end of the beach, however that area remains cut off from the wetland trails.

Map 4 proposes the reopening of existing trails that are already in the wetlands.

- A. The old jeep track providing a circular route from the NRC showgrounds area.
- B. The Papkuilsvele track that links the corner of the beach access path to the circular track near Lake Michelle housing estate. As this trail floods during winter, seasonal access should be granted.

- C. Providing a safe river crossing at the Lake Michelle stream. Currently there is the remains of a horse bridge that has fallen victim to vandalism. Providing a riding trail through the river will be much safer means of crossing the water and will not be threatened by criminal activity.

With these additional routes available, there will be less intense usage of the current trails, alleviating issues with erosion. In addition, the new paths will allow for circular routes which will allow safer riding for all. Reinstating these trails will require the following rehabilitation work: clearing along route A and B, removing fencing that blocks off these paths and widening the river crossing at C.

### **Proposed trails to be opened for equestrian activities in Silvermine**

With the motto “A park for all, forever” in mind, the Noordhoek riders working group would like to propose opening up accessibility for horse riders in Silvermine. To access Silvermine would bring a new dimension to experiences in the park, as well as reconnect the historic riding areas of Tokai and Noordhoek. The Silvermine trails proposed provide an opportunity to explore the area from the saddle and to raise awareness of the beauty and sensitivity of our natural environment.

This proposal is in line with the 2004 Equestrian which states a need for and willingness to open new horse-riding routes throughout the Park. It also adheres to the criteria for new trails set out in that document (page 17) that “path characteristics for horse riding will ideally be: Firm, smooth and lightly sandy; gently sloping; not overgrown – up to 2m clear width (i.e. jeep tracks). Path widths do not necessarily need to be wider than 1m – horses may step aside and stand to let other horses pass.” The section adds that “Where the environmental and user conflicts can be mitigated, the following types of path will also be accommodated for horse riding: Soft sandy tracks; stepped rocky tracks; and loose gravelly surfaces (i.e. gravel jeep tracks).

In the 2004 EMP (page 6) it is mentioned that there was once a presence of horse riders in Silvermine without a formal or implicit approval from Park authorities. This informal arrangement was between Parks’ officials and equestrians local to the areas directly abutting the Park. This riding trail is remembered by older residents of the south peninsula, who have been riding and keeping horses in the vicinity for decades.

The following proposal aims to bring these memories forward into a future where the Table Mountain National Park is able to reconnect its fragments and provide a safe, harmonious experience in nature to all park user groups. This proposal is illustrated on Map 8.

#### **Silvermine Gate 1 Area**

Today horse riders can access Silvermine via the Jeep Track Level 5 from Tokai. The trail currently ends on the top where the jeep track merges onto the tarred road. However, horse riders do not have access beyond this point. Therefore, as it is a “cul-de-sac”, it is unappealing to horse riders. This particular path is currently frequented by mountain bikers accessing the extensive network of cycling trails in the Silvermine area.

This proposal aims to address this cul-de-sac effect by reconnecting Noordhoek and Tokai via the Ou Wapad and Tokai Jeep Track Level 5 trails. This gives riders access over the mountain, as well as access to the numerous potential riding trails in the Silvermine area. We propose to have suitable paths be opened to horse riders to share with existing user groups. Horse riders, cyclists and hikers on foot can successfully share routes if there are specific rules and mutual respect

between user groups. Horse riders usually go at a steady pace due to the terrain, the footing on the tracks, and preserving their horse's stamina on such a long excursion. The presence of horse riders in Silvermine will be minimal in comparison to other user groups.

The accessibility of these trails requires either a fit, well-trained horse suitable for riding up the mountain, or access to a horse trailer and suitable parking facilities. Both of these groups are limited, and due to the time it would take to undertake a trail of this length it would present infrequent equestrian use. This outcome alleviates concern around erosion and can be mitigated through appropriate trail management.

Silvermine Gate 1 Area would be accessible to horse riders from Tokai and Noordhoek, as well as to riders trucking horses in from other areas. The gate gives the TMNP control over equestrian access to the Silvermine area, with opportunity to check activity cards and inform riders of the Silvermine code of conduct. Horsebox parking is available near the existing mountain bike parking, with ample space to turn a two-berth horse trailer. For those who do not have an Activity Permit, a Day Pass can be purchased at Gate 1. Riders from other areas can then come and enjoy the Park if there are circular routes on top of Silvermine.

### **Silvermine Gate 2 Area**

A further proposal from the Noordhoek horse riders is to open trails in the Silvermine Gate 2 Area. Riders will gain access at the Ranger Station in the Silvermine Valley, with the proposed route taking riders up past the Waterfall. Following a circular route past Nellie's Pool and back onto the track down through the Silvermine Valley, this route remains on the wider jeep track trails. The trail is around an 11 kilometre route.

This side of Silvermine is attractive for horse-riding riders concerned about sharing routes with MTB users. Hikers, dog walkers and horse riders usually travel at similar walking paces and can therefore share the routes with a similar pace. Since dogs must be on a leash in the area there will be no issue with dogs chasing horses. The footing and terrain will limit the horse riders speed, as the gradients will be taxing on the horse's stamina and stony conditions underfoot are not conducive to high-speed riding. Due to the low vegetation and open terrain surrounding the proposed trail, horse riders and hikers will have generous visibility of other users.

Horseboxes can be parked at the Ranger Station, where riders must present a valid activity card or Day Pass before proceeding up the trail. Alternatively, the trail could be accessed through a special pre-arranged day pass irrespective of general activity card status. This allows for the rangers to control the number of horses present on the mountain for various management purposes, as well as for the safety of all users. No horses may go off path, nor may riders follow the trail beyond the waterfall to the Gate 2 parking area. This trail is estimated to require three hours for a fit horse and rider to navigate.

### **4.3.3 Glencairn area**

The Glencairn area (Map 5) is primarily used by equestrians keeping their horses at the Glencairn Stables and private yards in the Red Hill area and near the entrance to the Cape of Good Hope section. From time to time, other equestrians arrive by horse trailer and park at the various access points on the Glencairn Expressway or Da Gama Road.

The existing trails as shown on the map are well-used by equestrians, however crime is a major issue in the area surrounding the dam. There are several previously approved trails that could be reinstated in future should there be a demand from riders.

#### ***4.3.4 Hout Bay (Orange Kloof and Sandy Bay)***

Two separate areas of TMNP are connected to the suburb of Hout Bay, where numerous horse yards are located. Orange Kloof (Map 7) is located at the northern end of the Hout Bay valley and Sandy Bay (Map 6) is on the south-west of the suburb.

The area above the Ruyteplaats Private Mountain Estate is also available for equestrians but is not discussed here as it is owned by the City of Cape Town.

Hout Bay and Tokai are connected via a historical route across the mountain above Blue Valley Avenue. The suburb also has a greenbelt area along the Hout Bay River, and this and the road verge network serve to connect equestrians in Hout Bay to the various areas. Hout Bay has a number of riding schools and livery stables that make equestrian activities available to the valley as well as the surrounding suburbs.

The Hout Bay Riders Club has been active since 1990 and represents both competitive and recreational equestrians.

Orange Kloof borders on to Cecilia, although access for horses between the two is limited to a narrow trail between a boom and a steep drop. Orange Kloof is the predominant area for equestrians in the north of the valley, where there are very limited safe outrides. The road verges of busy Valley Road and Main Road are not established as proper equestrian paths and the greenbelt area through the valley has become dangerous and impassable in places due to erosion and conflict with other users.

Access to Orange Kloof area for equestrians is via a locked gate at the end of Forest Hill Road, a cul-de-sac with limited parking in the quiet Longkloof area. Currently all equestrians enter at this gate from the Hout Bay side. However, there is a request from riders in Constantia for a similar access system to Orangekloof from the Constantia Nek parking area.

The HBRC is investigating access measures that will ensure a record of who accessed the gate, when, and that membership and activity permits are renewed annually for access.

Equestrians can access the Sandy Bay routes from several access points in Hout Bay. The majority of equestrian activities are through access routes from Hout Bay to Kronenzicht, along the constructed bridle track adjacent to Eustegia Way in Kronenzicht or over the Hout Bay dune system, along Rocket Road and/or down along the TMNP management track to Sandy Bay. More security and visible policing would be ideal for trails to Sandy Bay as there have been a few incidents against walkers. In addition, the trail from the saddle above Sandy Bay along Rocket Road is very stony.

#### **Proposed trails to be opened for equestrian activities in Orange Kloof**

Currently Orange Kloof consists of one main track with a short circular section around a field. As there is only one trail to and from the access gate on Forest Hill Road, there is substantial over-use of the current track. HBRC proposes that all jeep tracks/hard roads in Orange Kloof be opened to equestrians, subject to the provisions of this EMP. See Map 7 for the proposed routes.

These are well-established roads used by vehicles and therefore not prone to any trail damage by horses. The proposed circular routes will allow both a shorter and a longer outing and will not

result in any illegal thoroughfare tracks as the routes are far apart and the vegetation and mountainside in between are not passable for horses. Interactions with other user groups will be minimal, as Orange Kloof is a low activity area where dog walkers and cyclists are not permitted.

Equestrians using the proposed new routes will also be able to report suspicious activity in the area, and aid SANParks rangers and Longkloof residents (who have long had serious security issues with criminals using the mountain to access the area). Access past the boom to reach the longer upper circular route will need to be improved, as currently the trail past the boom is very narrow with a steep drop on the side.

A trail to connect the City-owned Ruyteplaats area with Orange Kloof is proposed on Map 9.

#### **4.3.5 Cecilia**

Cecilia lies on the eastern lower slopes of Table Mountain, south of Kirstenbosch National Botanical Garden, north of Constantia Nek, and borders the Constantia suburbs where there are several horse yards and a network of greenbelts suitable for equestrian activities. The slopes of Cecilia are gradually being restored to the natural Peninsula Granite Fynbos interspersed with silver tree forest, as the plantations of pine and gum trees are being removed. Equestrians are permitted to ride on all the jeep tracks on shown on Map 7.

Access to Cecilia for equestrians is via:

- Orange Kloof from the Hout Bay side (an access key is required for Orange Kloof)
- The parking area at Constantia Nek
- The Constantia greenbelts at the intersection of Southern Cross Drive with Rhodes Drive (from part of the Diep River greenbelt trail)
- The parking area at the intersection of Hohenort Avenue and Rhodes Drive

Low numbers of horse-riders utilise Cecilia. Usage is limited due to the area's inaccessibility, as entry requires crossing busy Rhodes Drive or transporting horses to parking areas via horse trailers. The jeep tracks are also very stony, which may not be suitable for some horses. There are also problems with unleashed dogs that are not under voice control as required by the dog-walking code of conduct, and there have been numerous instances of aggressive dog reactions to horses.

Currently the best map available for Cecilia trails is from the Tokai and Cecilia Management Framework document of 2009 as shown on Map 7. Cecilia has some circular routes used by equestrians, but several other jeep tracks are dead-ends due to the boundaries of Kirstenbosch National Botanical Garden.

#### **Proposed trails to be opened for equestrian activities in Cecilia**

It is recommended provision be made to connect the dead-end trails where appropriate so that more circular equestrian activity trails are created. A full assessment is needed so that realistic proposals can be mapped and discussed as per the guidelines in sections 4.2 and 4.4.

There is also a request for gated access to Orange Kloof from Constantia Nek parking area (using a key system). This will assist riders who wish to truck horses to the parking area, providing access both to Orange Kloof and Cecilia.

#### ***4.4 Procedures to open new equestrian activity routes or tracks***

A number of tracks are proposed to be opened for equestrian activities in terms of this EMP revision. These additional tracks will only be available for equestrian activities once the required procedures outlined below and any required trail interventions have been implemented.

A proposed new route or track should adhere to one or more of following principles:

- Link currently designated routes within TMNP;
- Link to routes that involve different landowners or managers (e.g. connecting City of Cape Town public open space to TMNP);
- Create more circular options to prevent over-use of certain tracks;
- Alleviate congestion and possible conflict with other user groups;
- Allow alternative entry/exit points to a route;
- Follow an existing jeep track or single track.

The following procedures should be undertaken to open new equestrian activity routes:

- i. **Who may propose a new equestrian activity trail, and procedure for making a proposal:** An individual equestrian can propose a new route to the TMEF executive committee. New route proposals must be submitted in writing to the TMEF and include a plan of the proposed route alignment, as well as funding and maintenance plans.
- ii. **Process and criteria for considering a proposal:** The TMEF must inspect the proposed route and consider a variety of criteria and standards, such as: whether the proposed route is suited to equestrian activities; potential for trail conflict with other users; erosion; avoidance of sensitive biodiversity habitats; maintenance and funding crime prevention and safety; as well as any other considerations. The proposed route should be mapped.
- iii. **Possible need for baseline biodiversity and heritage studies:** Routes may not be approved if they disturb sensitive habitats or rare indigenous plants or animal species or the integrity of a cultural heritage site. A biodiversity baseline study and possibly a heritage impact study may be required to assess the maintenance and opening of new routes where necessary. Botanical reports may be required for the maintenance and opening of new routes.
- iv. **Consideration by SANParks:** Once the proposal has the written support of the TMEF it must be forwarded to SANParks for assessment. SANParks may support/amend/reject the proposal and should do so within a reasonable timeframe (preferably 30 days).
- v. **Feedback from SANParks:** If supported, SANParks will inform the TMEF if there is a need to conduct an Environmental Assessment in terms of the NEMA regulations of the proposal, or proceed to public and stakeholder comment, if necessary.
- vi. **Public and stakeholder comment:** If deemed necessary, the new route will be advertised on the SANParks and equestrian websites for public comment for a 30-day period. Key interested and affected parties (e.g. cyclists, dog walkers) should also comment within this period.
- vii. **Approval:** Based on comments received, SANParks may approve, with applicable conditions (construction, maintenance, management, signage etc.), amend or reject the proposal. This process should preferably be completed within 30 days.

## **4.5 Equestrian Code of Conduct**

This Code has been drawn up jointly by SANParks and equestrian bodies to regulate horse-riding in the Table Mountain National Park (TMNP). The objectives are to ensure compliance with TMNP rules, to ensure the conservation of the unique environmental and cultural resources of the TMNP, and to promote respect and courtesy between horse-riders, other users of the TMNP and Park officials.

All horse-riders should be made aware of the Code of Conduct when applying for an Activity Permit, and there should be ongoing communication of the relevant Codes of Conduct to all user groups on an ongoing basis, in partnership with representative organisations.

### **Rules for horse-riding**

- All horse-riders must have a valid Level 3 Activity Permit, which must be carried and shown on request to any TMNP official. Riders are to dismount, if necessary.
- Access to the TMNP is permitted during daylight hours only, unless permission is granted for organised rides outside these hours. Hours vary seasonally, as indicated on the SANParks website.
- Horse-riding is only allowed on designated equestrian trails, as approved in the Environmental Management Plan for equestrian activities. See map. Riding is not allowed on clay paths in the TMNP but is allowed on clay paths provided by the City of Cape Town.
- Horse-riders must stick to the existing paths, and not ride alongside them, which causes widening and loss of flora.
- Horse-riders have right of way above other users, including dog walkers, cyclists and hikers, but should always ride in a safe manner with due regard for other users. Note – no galloping is allowed in the lower Tokai Park.
- Horse-riders may not be accompanied by dogs, unless with permission of the TMNP authorities, in which case these dogs must be under voice control at all times.
- Riders who contravene any of these rules may be fined or have their Activity Permit revoked.

### **Recommended guidelines for horse-riders**

#### ***Be friendly and courteous***

- Every rider is an ambassador for the equestrian community and should behave in a courteous and respectful manner towards other riders, other Park users and TMNP officials.
- Slow down when passing other users to ensure safety for all. Be considerate to families with small children, the elderly, or people with disabilities.
- Approach unleashed dogs with extreme caution and allow owners time to restrain/call their dogs.
- Slow down when approaching other users from behind. Alert them to your presence, ensuring that you are able to pass safely.
- Express appreciation to other users, and thank motorists, cyclists and other users who give way.

### ***Riding safely***

- Always wear the correct safety gear, and ride with reflective clothing in poor light.
- Ensure that your tack and equipment is in good order to prevent accidents and that your horse is under control at all times.
- Ride at an appropriate pace for the terrain, so as not to cause erosion or damage to trails, and to be able to safely negotiate hazards.
- Keep left on roads, ride in single file and give way to authorised vehicles.
- Always ensure you have a fully charged cell phone, with ICE contact numbers, or identification and contact numbers attached to your saddle in case of an accident or loose horse.

### ***Equestrian etiquette***

- Always ride with due regard to other riders and their horses.
- Slow down and announce your approach when coming up behind other horses. Ask permission to pass and then to increase speed.
- Give other horses space to pass.
- Novice riders should be accompanied by a competent rider or supervisor on foot.

### ***Help protect the TMNP***

Horse-riders should be the eyes and ears of the Park. Report any illegal activity to the SANParks 24-hour Emergency line 0861 106 417.

Report incidents with other users and trail damage to representative equestrian groups.

- Tokai area – the Tokai District Riding Association (TDRA)
- Hout Bay – Hout Bay Riders Club
- Noordhoek – Noordhoek Wetland Riders Facebook group
- Table Mountain Equestrian Forum (TMEF) [info@tmef.co.za](mailto:info@tmef.co.za)

## **4.6 Interactions with other user groups**

Horse-riders come into contact other users on most trails in the TMNP. In an urban context, many other users do not expect to encounter horses and are unfamiliar with the behaviour of horses, which may appear intimidating. Horses are flight animals that may react unpredictably in situations that they perceive as threatening.

However, most horses are habituated to motor vehicles, pedestrians, cyclists and dogs that do not pose a threat. The vast majority of interactions between horse-riders and other users are uneventful. Adherence to the Codes of Conduct, courtesy and respect is key to ensuring the enjoyment of all users in a safe and harmonious manner.

### ***4.6.1 Dog walkers***

A dog's instinct as a predator animal is to chase, just as flight is instinctive to horses. Clashes between horses and unleashed dogs can lead to serious injuries to both parties.

Both equestrians and dog walkers have the responsibility to minimise potentially dangerous interactions. The following is recommended:

Equestrians have the responsibility to:

- Familiarise their horse with dogs so they do not over-react to the presence of dogs.



- Slow down when approaching dogs to allow owners time to call their dogs to heel and leash them if necessary.
- Warn dog walkers when approaching from behind if the dog walker is not aware of the approaching horse.
- Abide by the equestrian Code of Conduct and always carry their Activity Permit.

Dog walkers have the responsibility to have their dogs under control at all times by:

- Training their dogs to ignore horses. Dogs not habituated to horses should be leashed in public spaces until they learn to ignore horses.
- Not allowing their dogs to approach horses in any way.
- Calling their dogs to their side and preferably putting them on a leash when horses are approaching.
- Abide by the dog-walkers Code of Conduct and always carry their Activity Permit.

#### **4.6.1 Hikers/walkers and trail runners**

Hikers/walkers, trail runners and equestrians share most of the tracks in the TMNP and are likely to encounter one another regularly. Interactions are usually positive. Equestrians should always slow down when passing hikers/walkers or runners and warn them when approaching from behind.

#### **4.6.2 Mountain bikers/cyclists**

Cyclists and horse-riders have the potential for negative interactions on shared trails. This is because the speed at which cyclists sometimes travel poses a threat to the safety of both horses and equestrians, particularly if they appear suddenly and/or at high speed. Horses can be frightened by bicycles and this may result in accidents and possible injury to one or both parties.

Both equestrians and cyclists should attempt to minimise incidents by exercising responsible and respectful behaviour.

Equestrians have the responsibility to:

- Familiarise their horses with bicycles as much as possible.
- Warn cyclists when approaching them from behind and wishing to overtake.
- Wear bright clothing for increased visibility.
- Communicate with cyclists by using hand signals (the universally-recognised “slow down” hand sign) or voice.
- Observe TMNP rules, including not using trails designated exclusively for cycling, abide by the equestrian Code of Conduct and always carry their Activity Permit.

Cyclists have the responsibility to:

- Slow down when approaching horses
- Slow down when negotiating blind corners or on trails where other users, including equestrians, may be obscured.
- Warn equestrians when approaching them from behind and wishing to pass by calling out or using a bell well in advance.
- Observe TMNP rules, including not using trails designated exclusively for horse-riding, abide by the cycling Code of Conduct and always carry their Activity Permit.

**Management recommendation about interactions with other user groups**

All incidents between equestrians and other user groups should be reported to the TMEF. Should action be necessary (e.g. erection of further signage, creating a one-way route, etc.), these should be negotiated between all interested parties.

## **5 INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK**

The Table Mountain Equestrian Forum (TMEF) was established during this EMP review process with the aim of creating a single point of contact between SANParks and equestrian communities in and around the TMNP. The TMEF is not a formal legal entity or constituted body, but this may need to change in future. Individual equestrians should be encouraged to take up issues with the TMEF rather than approaching SANParks directly.

The roles and responsibilities of the parties in relation to the EMP are as follows:

### **SANParks**

SANParks is the managing authority of the TMNP and is the ultimate decision-making authority within the boundaries of the national park. SANParks is mandated with managing the environmental integrity of the TMNP and establishes rules regarding all activities within the TMNP.

In terms of this EMP, SANParks (TMNP management) is responsible for the following:

- Monitoring equestrian activities
- Approving signage
- Maintaining designated trails
- Closing illegal or non-approved trails
- Meeting with the TMEF to discuss this EMP
- Enforcing the PAA and EMP
- Supporting the TMEF
- Communicating with the TMEF on any matters that may affect equestrian activities in the TMNP
- Encouraging equestrian groups, individual equestrians or members of the public with equestrian issues to engage with and through the TMEF.

### **TMEF**

The TMEF is responsible for the following:

- Representing equestrian communities and the interests of all riders using the TMNP, in accordance with a constitution.
- Liaising with equestrian communities and maintaining regular communication with all riders with regards to matters affecting use of the Park.
- Liaising with the TMNP on a regular basis on equestrian activity management matters in the park.
- Acting as the first point of contact for equestrians in respect of TMNP matters.
- Assisting with designated route maintenance in partnership with TMNP.
- Assisting with safety and reporting incidents.
- Where possible, assisting TMNP with the monitoring of equestrian activities.
- Informing and educating equestrians about the contents of this EMP and the equestrian Code of Conduct, which shall be posted on its affiliate organisations and SANParks'

websites and distributed via local yards, riding clubs, tack shops, feed merchants and other outlets.

- Liaising with TMNP in designing signage and trails within TMNP.
- Meeting with TMNP officials to discuss implementation of this EMP and any changes.
- Assisting with revisions and updates of this EMP.

Various civil society organisations exist to manage and coordinate equestrian activities along the Peninsula. While some, such as the Constantia Valley Riding Club and the Noordhoek Riding Club, are facilities-based and primarily focused on shows and events, groups such as Tokai District Riding Association, Cape Equestrian Forum, Noordhoek Wetlands Riders, Constantia Equestrians and others, are involved in maintaining and securing historical, current and proposed equestrian activity trails and areas and promoting horse-riding in general.

Table 2 is a list of the equestrian-related associations or groupings that are active in the areas relevant to the TMNP.

**Table 2: List of equestrian-related associations active in the TMNP area**

<b>Association Name</b>	<b>Web Link</b>
CVRC (Constantia Valley Riding Club)	Facebook page
HBRC (Hout Bay Riders Club)	<a href="http://www.houtbayridersclub.squarespace.com">www.houtbayridersclub.squarespace.com</a>
NRC (Noordhoek Riding Club)	<a href="http://www.noordhoekriding.com">www.noordhoekriding.com</a>
SARDA (South African Riding for the Disabled Association)	<a href="http://www.sarda.co.za">www.sarda.co.za</a>
SPCA (Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals)	<a href="http://www.sPCA-ct.co.za">www.sPCA-ct.co.za</a>
State Veterinary Office	021 808 5052
TDRA (Tokai District Riding Association)	<a href="http://www.tdra.co.za">www.tdra.co.za</a>
TMEF (Table Mountain Equestrian Forum)	<a href="http://www.tmef.co.za">www.tmef.co.za</a>
Tokai Pony Club	<a href="http://www.tokaiponyclub.co.za">www.tokaiponyclub.co.za</a>
Hout Bay Pony Club	
Noordhoek Pony Club	Facebook page
Noordhoek Wetlands Riders	Facebook page
Cape Equestrian Forum	Facebook page
Constantia Equestrian	Whatsapp group

## 6 Implementation of the EMP

This section describes the activities that are required to enable implementation of this EMP. Table 3 describes the key implementation activities identified in this EMP, the prioritisation of the activity and responsibility for the activity.

**Table 3: Key implementation activities identified in this EMP**

Activity	Priority	Responsibility
<b>1. Adoption and initial communication of the EMP</b>		
1.1 Adopt the final EMP	High	TMNP management
1.2 Initiate focused public communications in different media about the EMP and its contents.	Moderate	TMNP management TMEF
1.3 Circulation of the final EMP to all registered interested and affected parties, and current holders of recreational permits.	High	TMNP management
1.4 Development of a pamphlet sheet depicting the approved routes, and the Code of Conduct to accompany the issue of recreational permits.	High	TMNP management
1.5 Communicate the agreements contained in, and responsibilities for, the EMP to all affected TMNP staff.	High	TMNP management
1.6 Publish the updated EMP on the TMNP and user group websites.	High	TMNP management TMEF
<b>2. Trail safety and maintenance</b>		
2.1 Undertake maintenance of trails by accredited persons in accordance with an approved maintenance programme.	Ongoing	TMNP management TMEF
2.2 Tracks must be mapped and inspected to determine stability, alignment, erosion potential and equestrian safety.	Ongoing	TMNP management TMEF
2.3 Update SANParks approved signage for equestrian activity e.g. direction, trail difficulty.	Moderate	TMNP management TMEF
2.4 All routes should be rated in terms of the Risk Guidelines for South Africa Trail Managers.	Moderate	TMNP management TMEF
2.5 There should be a consistent approach to signage complying with SANParks signage guidelines and signage conventions applicable to equestrian activities.	Ongoing	TMNP management TMEF
2.6 A risk management plan shall be compiled by the TMEF in conjunction with TMNP, Mountain Rescue and City of Cape Town to cover incidents such as serious injury and fire.	High	TMEF
<b>3. Activity Permit and self-regulation</b>		
3.1 Educate and awareness of permit requirements.	Ongoing	TMNP management TMEF
3.2 Investigate equestrian identification mechanisms.	Moderate	TMEF
<b>4. Ongoing communication, education and awareness of EMP</b>		
4.1 Conduct a communication strategy including: permits; behaviour; safety and risk; and signage and way finding.	High	TMNP management TMEF
4.2 Ensure ongoing information is made available to equestrian publications, e.g. TDRA newsletter, and in local weekend, daily and community newspapers.	Ongoing	TMNP management TMEF
4.3 Consideration should be given to employing social and digital media specialist for communicating with equestrians.	Low	TMNP management TMEF

## References

- SANParks, 2004. Environmental Management Program for Horse Riding in the Table Mountain National Park. Located on SANParks website:  
[http://www.sanparks.org/docs/general/EMP\\_Horses\\_final.pdf](http://www.sanparks.org/docs/general/EMP_Horses_final.pdf)
- SANParks, 2009. Tokai and Cecilia Management Framework. Located on SANParks website:  
[https://www.sanparks.org/docs/parks\\_table\\_mountain/library/2009/final\\_tokai\\_cecilia\\_MF\\_report.pdf](https://www.sanparks.org/docs/parks_table_mountain/library/2009/final_tokai_cecilia_MF_report.pdf)
- SANParks, 2012. Tokai Manor Precinct: Final Plan. Located on SANParks website:  
[http://www.sanparks.org/parks/table\\_mountain/about/plan.php](http://www.sanparks.org/parks/table_mountain/about/plan.php)
- Gower, S.T. (2008). Are horses responsible for introducing non-native plants along forest trails in the eastern United States. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 256, 997–1003.
- Quinn, A. (2004) Environmental Aspects of Horses on Trails. Located on  
<https://www.americantrails.org/>. Accessed 23 May 2022.
- Quinn, A. (2001) Does Horse Manure pose a significant risk to human health? Located at [www.bayequest.com/static/pdf/manure.pdf](http://www.bayequest.com/static/pdf/manure.pdf). Accessed 23 May 2022
- Lauren D. Quinn, Adda Quinn, Mietek Kolipinski, Bonnie Davis, Connie Berto, Mark Orcholski, and Sibdas Ghosh "Role of Horses as Potential Vectors of Non-Native Plant Invasion: An Overview," *Natural Areas Journal* 30(4), 408-416, (1 October 2010).  
<https://doi.org/10.3375/043.030.0406>
- Lamhamedi, H., Lizin, S., Witters, N., Malina, R., Baguare, A. (2021) *The recreational value of a peri-urban forest in Morocco*. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Volume 65, 2021, 127339, ISSN 1618-8667, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127339>.
- Radloff, Frans. (2008). The ecology of large herbivores native to the coastal lowlands of the fynbos biome in the Western Cape, South Africa.
- Shattuck, C. Poudyal, N.C, Bowke, J. M. and Joshi, O. (2022) *Differential values associated with outdoor recreational access among wildlife management area permit holders*. *Forest Policy and Economics*, Volume 141, 2022, 102764, ISSN 1389-9341,  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2022.102764>.
- Maciejewski, Kristi & Bouchard, Elizabeth & Miller, Cassandra & Little, Lawrence & Rundell, Susan & Vlodaver, Elana. (2015). Undeclared baggage: Do tourists act as vectors for seed dispersal in fynbos protected areas?. *Koedoe - African Protected Area Conservation and Science*. 57. 1. 10.4102/koedoe.v57i1.1323.
- Smith, K. and Kraaij, T. (2020) Research note: Trail runners as agents of alien plant introduction into protected areas. *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism* Vol 31 September 2020.

## Appendix A: TMNP trail user stakeholder groups

<b>Equestrian activities and interests</b>
Tokai District Riding Association
Glencairn Equestrian Centre
Hout Bay Riders Club
Red Hill Riding Association
Noordhoek Riding Club
South African Riding for the Disabled Association
Tokai Pony Club
Noordhoek Pony Club
Noordhoek Wetland Riders
Cape Equestrian Forum
Constantia Equestrian
Constantia Alphen Hackers
<b>Cycling/mountain biking</b>
TMMTB Forum
<b>Hiking</b>
Mountain Club of SA
Cape Province Hiking Club
Western Province Hiking Club
Hikers Network
Peninsula Ramblers
<b>Trail running</b>
WP Trail Running
<b>Walking with dogs</b>
Friends of Dog Walkers
<b>General</b>
Friends of Tokai Park
Friends of Silvermine
City of Cape Town
Parkscape
Take Back Our Mountains
Kommetjie Environmental Action Group
Noordhoek Environmental Action Group